

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
ASHEVILLE DIVISION
1:11 CR 105-1**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.

MICHAEL SCOTT GUMULA.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)

ORDER

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard and being heard before the undersigned at the close of a Rule 11 proceeding that was held before this Court on November 5, 2012. It appearing to the Court at the call of this matter on for hearing the Defendant was present with his attorney, Andrew B. Banzhoff and the Government was present and represented through Assistant United States Attorney Tom Ascik. From the arguments of counsel for the Defendant and the arguments of the Assistant United States Attorney and the records in this cause, the Court makes the following findings:

Findings. On December 6, 2011 a bill of indictment was issued charging the Defendant with manufacturing marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and in count two with possessing with intent to distribute marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). On November 5, 2012, the undersigned held an inquiry, pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and accepted a plea of guilty of the Defendant to both of those charges. At the end of the Rule 11 proceeding, this Court presented the issue of whether or not the Defendant should now

be detained, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2).

Discussion. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) provides as follows:

(2) The judicial officer shall order that a person who has been found guilty of an offense in a case described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (f)(1) of section 3142 and is awaiting imposition or execution of sentence be detained unless ----

(A)(i) the judicial officer finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted; or

(ii) an attorney for the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed on the person; or

(B) the judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community.


From an examination of the records in this cause, it appears the Defendant has now entered a plea of guilty on November 5, 2012 to manufacturing marijuana in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and possessing with intent to distribute marijuana in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). The crime in count one is one of the crimes that is referenced under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(C). The undersigned made an inquiry of Assistant United States Attorney Tom Ascik as to whether or not there was going to be a recommendation that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed upon the Defendant. Mr. Ascik advised the Court that such a recommendation could not be made in this matter. As a result of the plea of guilty of Defendant, the undersigned cannot find there is a likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted. It

would thus appear, and the Court is of the opinion that the Court is required to apply the factors as set forth under 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) which require the detention of Defendant.

ORDER

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, that the terms and conditions of pretrial release are hereby **REVOKED** and it is **ORDERED** that Defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: November 7, 2012



Dennis L. Howell
United States Magistrate Judge

